

Credit Opinion: Eesti Energia AS

Global Credit Research - 27 Aug 2015

Tallinn, Estonia

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating	Baa2
Senior Unsecured -Dom Curr	Baa2
ST Issuer Rating	P-2

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Rafal Wojtyna/London	44.20.7772.5454
Niel Bisset/London	
Andrew Blease/London	

Key Indicators

[1]Eesti Energia AS	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2012	12/31/2011
(CFO Pre-W/C + Interest) / Interest	6.8x	8.9x	7.9x	11.2x
(CFO Pre-W/C) / Net Debt	25.5%	35.5%	34.7%	46.5%
RCF / Net Debt	14.6%	28.4%	24.3%	33.8%
(CFO Pre-W/C) / Debt	22.8%	32.0%	28.0%	42.6%
RCF / Debt	13.1%	25.6%	19.6%	31.0%

[1] All ratios are based on 'Adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations. Source: Moody's Financial Metrics

Note: For definitions of Moody's most common ratio terms please see the accompanying [User's Guide](#).

Opinion

Rating Drivers

- Dominant market positioning in Estonia, overall small in size, added risks in connection with increasing market integration
- Heavy reliance on the CO₂-intensive oil shale based electricity generation, coupled with a weaker power price environment
- Regulated electricity distribution activities add a degree of visibility of cash flows
- Development of higher-risk shale oil activities
- Negative free cash flow due to sizeable, albeit reduced investment programme

- Government support provides rating uplift

Corporate Profile

Eesti Energia AS (rated Baa2, stable) is the dominant vertically integrated utility in Estonia. The group generates 90% of the country's electricity and around 17% of its heating needs. It also owns and operates most of the country's electricity distribution network. Primary electricity generation facilities are based in north-eastern Estonia, consisting of oil shale fired Eesti and Balti power plants, owned and operated by Eesti Energia Narva Elektriijaamad AS (EE Narva Power Plants). Eesti Energia also owns 100% of Estonia's main supplier of oil shale, the country's long-term primary fuel source for electricity generation. Eesti Energia is 100% owned by the Government of Estonia.

Rating Rationale

Eesti Energia's Baa2 rating is underpinned by the group's dominant position in Estonia as an incumbent vertically-integrated utility and the cash flow predictability associated with its electricity distribution activities.

However, the company's small scale and concentration on a CO₂-intensive oil shale based generation portfolio constrains its rating. In the short term, Eesti Energia's hedging strategy provides a degree of protection to earnings from the effect of weakening power prices. We expect that the competitive position of Eesti Energia's oil shale based generation assets will weaken over time due to the increasing integration with the Nordic power market, which has a more diversified generation base.

The Baa2 rating also takes into account Eesti Energia's shale oil activities, which we consider to carry a higher risk than the group's core utility services. In addition, we note a degree of execution risk related to the shale oil facilities, as evidenced by historical production delays at the Enefit280 facility. Moody's understands that Eesti Energia has scaled-down its plans to undertake further investments into these facilities in the foreseeable future, and believes that the company will continue to prudently manage spending in this area.

Eesti Energia's rating incorporates a two-notch of uplift for potential support from its owner, the Government of Estonia (rated A1, stable).

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

DOMINANT MARKET POSITIONING IN ESTONIA, OVERALL SMALL IN SIZE, ADDED RISKS IN CONNECTION WITH INCREASING MARKET INTEGRATION

Eesti Energia is the incumbent vertically integrated utility group in Estonia. The company has total installed generation capacity of 2.1 GW, predominantly based on oil shale. At H1 2015, Eesti Energia's electricity sales amounted to 3.9 TWh, which represents a decline of around 13% from the same period in 2014. The group's retail electricity market share in H1 2015 was 61% in Estonia (59% in 2014), 14% in Latvia (15%), and 4% in Lithuania (7%). The combined market share in the Baltics remained unchanged at 26%, compared to 2014 levels.

Eesti Energia is the dominant player in Estonia and enjoys significant presence in the Baltic generation market (approximately a fifth of the region's installed capacity). Nevertheless, both the size and scale of operations are relatively small in the wider pan-European context. These factors expose the group to changes in the increasingly integrated electricity markets.

The Baltic countries are relatively well interconnected with each other (one exception being the insufficient cross-border transmission capacity between Estonia and Latvia) and Eesti Energia benefits from its presence in the neighbouring markets (Latvia and Lithuania), as both of them are characterised by structural electricity deficits.

Interconnection capacity between the Baltic and the Nordic regions has increased recently, following the commissioning of the Estlink 2 sub-sea DC cable (in early 2014), linking Estonia and Finland. This resulted in cross-border throughput between the two countries rising to 1 GW, from the previous 350 MW. The increase in interconnection capacity offers opportunities for Eesti Energia, due to the fact that Finland is characterised by a structural energy deficit. Conversely, it also exposes the company to price pressures and added volatility, stemming from the low cost, hydro-driven generation base in the Nordic region.

Overall, the establishment of the second Estlink interconnector, has resulted in NPS Estonia electricity prices dropping from 43 EUR /MWh in 2013, to 30 EUR /MWh in the first half of 2015. In the short-term, risks related to the level of power prices are mitigated by the hedging policy adopted by Eesti Energia (with 1-year ahead sales volumes hedged usually between 70-90%, and 2-year hedges averaging around 50%). Over the medium-term,

however, the group is exposed to the ongoing integration between the Baltic and Nordic markets. This is likely to result in a reduction to Eesti Energia's achieved prices, and thus, negatively impact on the group's financial profile.

HEAVY RELIANCE ON THE CO₂-INTENSIVE OIL SHALE BASED ELECTRICITY GENERATION, COUPLED WITH A WEAKER POWER PRICE ENVIRONMENT

The company's generation base is dominated by oil shale (c.85% of installed capacity) . The CO₂ intensity of this technology is between 1.0-1.2t/MWh (depending on the specific production unit), which compares unfavourably to the CO₂ intensity of gas or coal of circa 0.45t/MWh and 0.9t/MWh, respectively. This exposes the group to the tightening of carbon emission targets. We note that, depending on electricity generation volumes, annual CO₂ emissions for Eesti Energia range between 8-13 million tonnes. This exceeds the allocated free CO₂ emission allowances, which at December 2014 was a cumulative of 8.5 million tonnes for years 2015-2017. Consequently, the company has to purchase significant volumes of CO₂ allowances, to compensate for the excess emission levels. With CO₂ emission allowances prices on the rise (in Q2'2015 the average price stood at 7.4 EUR /t, and was 33% higher than in Q2'14), this poses risk to Eesti Energia's profitability. Hedging provides some support and visibility to cash flows. Furthermore, the company is investing in the new Auvere plant, which will have lower emissions, as well as in renewable generation sources. The scale of these activities, however, is modest in the context of the group's total generation base, and the company's exposure to CO₂ remains high.

Eesti Energia's cash flows are exposed to wholesale electricity price movements. Price hedging provides a degree of visibility to cash flows in the short-term, but given the increasing integration with the Nordic markets, we expect downward pressures on the prices in the region to continue. In Q2'2015, Estonian power prices averaged 30.0 EUR /MWh, and were decreased 13.6% from Q2'2014 levels. In light of the market dynamics in the region, the lack of a diversified generation base, weighs on the company's overall business risk profile.

REGULATED ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION ACTIVITIES ADD A DEGREE OF VISIBILITY OF CASH FLOWS

Following full opening of the Estonian electricity market in 2013, heat sales and electricity distribution are the only regulated activities of Eesti Energia. The distribution segment accounts for around one third of Eesti Energia's EBITDA, depending on the actual performance of other business segments. Heat sales are negligible in the context of group's overall financial profile.

Overall, regulated activities support the business profile of the company by adding to cash flow stability and visibility. Distribution network operations are subject to regulation by the Estonian Competition Authority (ECA). Whilst the regulatory framework in Estonia is fairly well developed and based on generally used principles of return on a regulatory asset base (RAB), we note that the regulator took a lighter approach in 2013. Since then, there are no longer three-year regulatory periods and tariffs do not need to be adjusted on an annual basis. This form of regulation is seen as credit positive, as it gives Eesti Energia more discretion in its approach to investments and tariffs. We note, however, that this regulatory approach lacks a longer track record.

DEVELOPMENT OF HIGHER-RISK SHALE OIL ACTIVITIES

In addition to core utility services, Eesti Energia is involved in shale oil production, which we consider to carry higher risk due to volatile oil prices and exposure to potential changes in environmental laws. The group remains a small player and as such remains vulnerable to market changes. The company mitigates some of the near-term risks through price hedging.

The share of shale oil activities is expected to increase with the commissioning of the Enefit280 shale oil plant. Eesti Energia originally envisaged starting full operations in September 2012, but due to technological issues, the commissioning has been gradual and the plant is now expected to achieve close to full operations in 2016. In our view, this highlights the execution risk associated with the development of shale oil activities.

Eesti Energia has also been involved in the development of international shale oil projects, with one in Jordan at a more advanced contractual stage. Such projects may result in increased investment requirements for the group in the long-term, but we believe that the company will continue to prudently manage spending in this area. Nevertheless, a material expansion of these activities would weigh on Eesti Energia's business and financial risk profile.

NEGATIVE FREE CASH FLOW DUE TO SIZEABLE, ALBEIT REDUCED INVESTMENT PROGRAMME

Eesti Energia's financial profile deteriorated over the past few years as a result of a sizeable investment programme. Capex peaked at around EUR500 million in 2012, but has gradually decreased since. For 2015, we expect investments in the area of EUR300 million. Free cash flow continues to be negative, but with the two

largest projects (the 300 MW power plant in Auvere, as well as the Enefit280 shale oil facility) reaching their final stages in 2015, we expect decreased investment levels for 2016 and beyond. The absence of any new major capital expenditure should enable the company to return to positive free cash levels in the medium-term.

GOVERNMENT SUPPORT PROVIDES RATING UPLIFT

Eesti Energia's rating incorporates an uplift for potential government support to its standalone credit quality. We express this as a Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA) of ba1. The uplift to the BCA, of two notches, is a result of the credit quality of the government of Estonia (A1, stable), which owns 100% of Eesti Energia's shares, as well as our assessment of there being a strong probability of government support for the group in the event of financial distress, and a moderate level of default dependence (i.e. degree of exposure to common drivers of credit quality).

We regard Eesti Energia to be of high importance to the state, given the group's role in the electricity and shale oil markets in Estonia. This is also confirmed by the EUR150 million equity injection completed in 2012, and the governmental support of the group's strategy.

Liquidity

We consider Eesti Energia's liquidity profile to be sufficient to support the group's operating expenditure and capex over the next 15 months. As at 30 June 2015, Eesti Energia reported EUR199.1 million worth of liquid assets (cash and bank deposits), EUR150 million of revolving credit lines (RCFs) maturing in 2018 (fully undrawn), and EUR100 million of undrawn term loans. In July 2015, all the RCFs have been refinanced, at an unchanged overall commitment level of EUR 150m, which extended the maturities out to 2020.

The above is further strengthened by a favourable debt maturity profile. The majority of debt is represented by three bonds: two 4.25% bonds totalling EUR400 million due in 2018, and a EUR300 million 4.5% bond due in 2020. Therefore, the company does not face any major refinancing requirements in the medium-term.

Rating Outlook

The outlook on Eesti Energia's ratings is stable, reflecting our expectation that the company will be able to maintain a financial profile in line with the current rating due to a reduced investment programme, as well as there being some flexibility from the shareholder regarding distributions, which could support free cash flow generation in an environment of lower power and oil prices.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

Upward rating pressure would develop if Eesti Energia's credit metrics were materially stronger than currently anticipated. However, in light of the group's strategy, and the weak power price environment, we do not envisage any positive rating pressures in the near-term.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

The rating could come under downward pressure if: (1) Eesti Energia were not able to maintain a financial profile commensurate with the current ratings (i.e. FFO / net debt in the high teens to early twenties in percentage terms, and FFO interest cover above 4.0x); (2) there was a significant shift in the group's business risk profile; (3) there was a material adverse change in the regulatory framework in Estonia; or (4) there was a deterioration in the credit quality of the Government of Estonia and/or a reduction in the support assumptions currently incorporated into Moody's assessment.

Other Considerations

METHODOLOGY GRID

The principal methodologies used in rating Eesti Energia are Moody's "Unregulated Utilities and Power Companies", published in October 2014; and "Government Related Issuers", published in October 2014. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moody.com for a copy of these methodologies.

Rating Factors

Eesti Energia AS

Unregulated Utilities and Unregulated Power Companies Industry Grid [1][2]	As of 31 December 2014		[3]Moody's 12-18 Month Forward ViewAs of August 2015	
	Measure	Score	Measure	Score
Factor 1 : Scale (10%) a) Scale (USD Billion)		Ba		Ba
Factor 2 : Business Profile (40%) a) Market Diversification		Ba		Ba
b) Hedging and Integration Impact on Cash Flow Predictability		Baa		Baa
c) Market Framework & Positioning		B		B
d) Capital Requirements and Operational Performance		Baa		Baa
e) Business Mix Impact on Cash Flow Predictability		Baa		Baa
Factor 3 : Financial Policy (10%) a) Financial Policy		Baa		Baa
Factor 4 : Leverage and Coverage (40%) a) (CFO Pre-W/C + Interest) / Interest (3 Year Avg)	7.8x	Baa	5x - 6.5x	Baa
b) (CFO Pre-W/C) / Net Debt (3 Year Avg)	31.5%	Baa	17% - 21.5%	Ba/Baa
c) RCF / Net Debt (3 Year Avg)	22.0%	Baa	11% - 14%	Ba
b) (CFO Pre-W/C) / Debt (3 Year Avg)		NA		
c) RCF / Debt (3 Year Avg)		NA		
Rating: a) Indicated Rating from Grid		Baa3		Ba1
b) Actual BCA Assigned		ba1		ba1

Government-Related Issuer	Factor
a) Baseline Credit Assessment	ba1
b) Government Local Currency Rating	A1
c) Default Dependence	Moderate
d) Support	Strong
e) Final Rating Outcome	Baa2

[1] All ratios are based on 'Adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations. [2] As of 31/12/2014; Source: Moody's Financial Metrics [3] This represents Moody's forward view; not the view of the issuer; and unless noted in the text, does not incorporate significant acquisitions and divestitures

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <http://www.moodys.com> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

MOODY'S
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S

CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes

and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.